

Urban Art Project • DRAFT 3/06

Appendix VII.1 : Grading Rubric

The following rubric will be used to score for grading and judging purposes.

Criteria	1	2	3	4
Use of Math Principles	Use of 1 complex math principle, outside of mere triangle shapes.	Use of 2 complex math principles, outside of mere triangle shapes.	Use of 3 complex math principles, outside of mere triangle shapes.	Use of 4-5 complex math principles, outside of mere triangle shapes.
Use of Physics	Physics is rarely used in design/presentation/inspiration.	Physics is adequately used in design/presentation/inspiration.	Physics is used in design/presentation/inspiration.	Physics is clearly used often in design/presentation/inspiration.
Artistic Style	Limited use of creativity in design including color and artist inspiration explanation.	Adequate use of creativity in design including color and artist inspiration explanation.	Use of creativity in design including color and artist inspiration explanation.	Extreme use of creativity in design including color and artist inspiration explanation.
Instructions on Construction	Limited use of useful images along with clear and concise easy to follow text.	Adequate of useful images along with clear and concise easy to follow text.	Use of useful images along with clear and concise easy to follow text.	High use of useful images along with clear and concise easy to follow text.
Compliance	Followed TOO FEW Port of San Diego and Project HT requirements.	Followed SEVERAL Port of San Diego and Project HT requirements.	Followed MOST Port of San Diego and Project HT requirements.	Followed ALL Port of San Diego and Project HT requirements.
Teamwork	Did not resolve any issues among the team. Had problems communicating. Unequal distribution of work.	Could have worked better at handling problems and were sufficiently productive. Little less equal distribution of work.	Worked pretty well together in handling problems and were efficiently productive. Less equal distribution of work.	Worked extremely well together in handling problems and were incredibly productive. Equal distribution of work.
Presentation	Took little pride in the details. Work appeared rushed and was sloppily presented.	Adequate attention to detail. Work appeared slight rushed and could have been presented neater.	Decent attention to detail. Work did not appear rushed and was neatly presentable, but improvements are noticeable.	Took pride in the details. Work did not appear rushed and was neatly presentable.

Actual Scores for the following criteria will be doubled:

- Use of Math Principles
- Instructions on Construction
- Compliance